

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the amendments above and the remarks following is respectfully requested.

Claims 20-76 are in this case. Claims 20-41 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 (e). Claims 20-41 have been cancelled. Claims 42-76 have been added.

The claims before the Examiner are directed towards a method and device for retrieving information from a network-based information provider based queries that are electronic representations of real-world entities captured by a data-capture device. No such method or device is taught or suggested in the art of record.

Support for the replacement claim set is found in the Description beginning on page 2 through page 15 of the original application.

Request for Continued Examination (RCE)

For the information of the Examiner, this response to the final Rejection is being filed contemporaneously with an RCE and the associated fees. The Applicant thanks the Examiner in advance for the full consideration of the amendments and arguments submitted herein.

§ 102(e) Rejections

The Examiner has rejected claim 1-19 under § 102(e) as being anticipated by Gelvin et al. (US 6,859,831) The Examiner's rejection is respectfully traversed.


For the sake of expediting the prosecution the claim set has been amended in its entirety for the sake of clarifying and highlighting the crucial distinctions between the present invention and Gelvin. The Examiner stated that Gelvin in column 10, lines 35-42 teaches users that query the data base and furthermore in column 36, 26-42 teaches a query formed from a captured data:

"Embodiments of the DQLs for sensor programming and information retrieval include small footprint standard query language (SQL) database systems."

Applicant respectfully brings the Examiner's attention to the fact that DQL and SQL are languages and have nothing to do with content. The present invention discloses a method and device for retrieving information on the basis of a query having a particular content; the content of the query is the captured data as claimed. Gelvin is silent in this regard; he teaches retrieving data by way of user defined queries directed to databases containing data collected by sensors. He does not disclose, suggest or hint using captured data itself as a query.

In view of the above amendments and remarks it is respectfully submitted that independent Claims 42 and 60 and hence dependent Claims 43-59-30 and 61-76 are in condition for allowance. Prompt notice of allowance is respectfully and earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark M. Friedman
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 33,883

Date: March 29, 2010